

**EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

Application number: 88116052.7

Int. Cl.4: **H04B 3/23**

Date of filing: 29.09.88

Priority: 02.10.87 US 104320

Date of publication of application:  
05.04.89 Bulletin 89/14

Designated Contracting States:  
AT BE CH DE ES FR GB GR IT LI LU NL SE


Applicant: **ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.**  
901 Thompson Place P.O. Box 3453  
Sunnyvale, CA 94088(US)

Inventor: **Vairvan, Kannan P.**  
3300 Parker Lane, No. 115  
Austin Texas 78741(US)  
Inventor: **McLeod, Paul**  
3209 I.H. 35 South, No. 2020  
Austin Texas 78741(US)

Representative: **Altenburg, Udo, Dipl.-Phys. et al**  
Patent- und Rechtsanwälte  
Bardehle-Pagenberg-Dost-Altenburg &  
Partner Postfach 86 06 20  
D-8000 München 86(DE)

**Adaptive echo-canceller with double-talker detection.**

Method and apparatus are disclosed which provide echo-cancellation in subscriber line audio-processing circuits (SLACs) and modulator-demodulator (modems). The echo-canceller provides a response independent of the amplitude of an error signal representing the difference between the desired and generated signal. The convergence of the echo-cancelling is not influenced by the size of the error signal but only by its sign. In a preferred embodiment, a canonic signed digit filter coefficient updating technique is used for a digital filter (10) implementing the echo-cancellation function. A double-talker detector is advantageously used in conjunction with the echo-canceller. Detection of "double-talker" near-end signals inhibits updating of the echo-canceller filter coefficients. Near-end signals are detected by an energy-averaging filter (26) which selectively samples (28) low-pass filtered signals received by the adaptive filter as received from the far-end talker and low-pass filtered signals received from the near-end talker. Near-end speech or signal is detected if the latter signal exceeds a predetermined function of the former signal.



**FIG. 2**

## ADAPTIVE ECHO-CANCELLER WITH DOUBLE-TALKER DETECTION

## CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED, CO-PENDING APPLICATION

Co-pending application of particular interest to the instant application is U.S. Ser. No. filed , on behalf of Kannan P. Vairavan and Paul McLeod entitled "Updating Cannyonic Signed Digit Filter Coefficients" and commonly-assigned with the instant application.

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to digital filters and, more particularly, to an adaptive filter providing echo-cancellation, especially to such a filter having cannyonic signed digit filter coefficients, and advantageously used in conjunction with double-talker detection.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Digital filters are used in adaptive circuits, such as adaptive subscriber line audio-processing circuits (SLACs), which require periodic updating of the filter coefficients. For example, a SLAC may employ a filter to cancel echos, for reflected signals, which occur if there is an impedance mismatch on the transmission lines interconnecting SLACs.

An adaptive filter continuously adjusts its coefficients to optimize the transfer function it implements. Without the adaption feature, a user must calculate a few sets of filter coefficients for the most commonly-encountered subscriber line characteristics and then choose the closest set of coefficients suited to the particular line used in the application. The selected set will not provide the best echo cancellation for instance, under all circumstances, especially if line impedance varies over time. By employing adaption, a user does not have to calculate any coefficients and at the same time gets a continuously-updated echo-cancellation function which can respond to changing line characteristics.

Digitally-encoded audio signals are commonly transmitted on networks employing SLACs. Accordingly, there is a need for adaptive digital filters which provide an echo cancellation function. Another application for digital filtering is in modulator-demodulators (Modems) used for transmission of digital data over telephone lines.

Because of procedures used during initial connection of SLACs and modems, echo-cancellers are deactivated for the duration of the initial establishment of the interconnection. Furthermore, the echo canceller must be deactivated if there is a "talker" at the near end of the interconnection. The adaptive filter must be prevented from updating filter coefficients during either of these circumstances. Thus, "double talker" detection is advantageously used in conjunction with an adaptive filter.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An adaptive digital filter is employed as an echo-canceller in the present invention. The echo canceller provides a response which is independent of the amplitude of the error signal representing the difference between the desired signal and the generated signal. Accordingly, the convergence of the echo-cancelling method is not influenced by the size of the error signal, but only by the sign of the error signal. In a preferred application, cannyonic signed digit filter coefficients are employed and a markedly improved learning curve is achieved for the echo-canceller of the present invention.

In another aspect of the invention, a double-talker detector is used to inhibit updating of the adaptive filter coefficients. Near end speech or signals are detected by using an energy-averaging filter. The energy-averaging filter samples low-pass filtered signals received by the adaptive filter as received from the far-end talker and low-pass filtered signals received from the near-end talker. Near-end speech or signal is detected if the latter signal exceeds a predetermined function of the former signal.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 illustrates an adaptive digital filter employed as an echo-canceller.

Fig. 2 illustrates employment of an energy-averaging filter in conjunction with the echo-cancelling filter of the instant invention.

Fig. 3 is a signal-flow diagram illustrating the transfer function to be implemented by the energy-averaging filter.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The present invention employs a digital filter in an adaptive circuit. An adaptive circuit is one in which the filter coefficients are not fixed values, but are updated, i.e., incremented or decremented, frequently. In the present invention, the filter provides an echo-cancelling function, and accordingly, the filter must adapt to changes in transmission line conditions, operating voltages, etc. A related, co-pending application entitled "Updating Cannonic Signed Digit Filter Coefficients" describes adaptive filters in greater detail and such description is incorporated by reference herein.

Fig. 1 is a block diagram illustrating the use in a "near-end" transceiver of an adaptive digital filter 10 as an echo-canceller. The filter 10 is an N-tap finite impulse response (FIR) filter of a type known as discrete-time adaptive filter and generates an output signal  $Y_n$  from a received input signal  $X_n$ , where  $n$  measures time intervals. After the  $n$ th iteration

$$X_n = [x_n \ x_{n-1} \ \dots \ x_{n-(N-1)}]^T$$

The filter 10 is characterized by a set of tap coefficients

$$B_n = [b_n \ b_{n-1} \ \dots \ b_{n-(N-1)}]^T$$

which are updated each time interval.

The output signal at the  $n$ th time interval is related to the previous  $N$  inputs and the previous  $N$  filter coefficients by the matrix equation.

$$Y_n = X_n \bullet B_n \quad (\text{Equ. 1})$$

An error signal,  $E_n$ , is computed by

$$E_n = d_n - Y_n \quad (\text{Equ. 2})$$

where  $d_n$  is the desired output signal to be generated by filter 10.

The object of updating the filter-coefficients is to minimize the error  $E_n$  in the shortest number of iterations. As described in the related, co-pending application, one method of coefficient updating is called a sign algorithm and is described by the equation

$$B_{n+1} = B_n + \Delta \bullet \text{sign}(X_n \bullet E_n) \quad (\text{Equ. 3})$$

where  $\Delta$  is a predetermined incremental value.

One advantage of employing Equ. 3 to perform coefficient updating is that only addition and subtraction are required to perform an update. Accordingly, the updating is readily performed by an arithmetic logic unit (ALU). Furthermore, the sign function is readily ascertained by the so-called "exclusive-or" logic function, which is also readily performed by an ALU. A more complete description of the sign algorithm is contained in the related, co-pending application which is incorporated herein by reference.

In a preferred embodiment, the value for  $\Delta$  is non-linear and is chosen according to a Cannonic Signed Digit (CSD) algorithm. This algorithm is likewise described in the related co-pending application and such description is incorporated herein by reference.

With reference again to Fig. 1, filter 10 receives signals which are to be transmitted to a near-end receiver. These signals  $X_n$  are conducted on a signal line 12, and are digitally-encoded voice or data signals, as will be appreciated by those skilled in the art. Signals on line 12 are conducted to filter 10 as well as to a block 14. Signals generated by block 14 are then conducted to a four-wire terminating set 16 commonly known as a subscriber line interface circuit (SLIC) which interconnects to a two-wire transmission line 18 and finally to the near-end receiver 20. Block 14 represents all the circuits of the transmit side between the input of filter 10 and four-wire terminating set 16. Block 14 will have a transfer function represented by  $H_i(Z)$ . For reference purposes hereinafter, the input to filter 10 is denoted by "A" in Fig. 1.

Signals received over line 18 are conducted to the four-wire terminating set 16 and then to a block 22, which represents all the circuits of the receive side between the four-wire terminating set 16 and a summing input of a sum node 24. Block 22 has a transfer function  $H_j(Z)$ . The signals generated by echo-cancelling filter 10 are applied to a subtracting node of sum node 24. The difference between the signals applied to

sum node 24 are therefore generated as error signals  $E_n$  at an output of sum node 24. For reference purposes hereinafter, the output of block 22 is denoted by "B" in Fig. 1.

The desired steady-state response  $d_n$  of the signal generated at sum node 24 to a sinusoidal signal with amplitude DBV

$$d_n = \text{DBV} \cdot M(w_i) \cdot \sin(WnT + \phi(w_i)) \quad (\text{Equ. 4})$$

where DBV is the input signal level applied to filter 10,  $w_i$  is the frequency of the signal applied and  $\text{DBV} \cdot M(w_i)$  is the desired echo-cancelling amplitude response between points A and B to the applied signal and  $\phi(w_i)$  is the desired echo-cancelling phase response.

Filter 10 generates a signal given by

$$Y_n = \text{DBV} \cdot b_n i \cdot x_n i \quad (\text{Equ. 5})$$

and the error signal actually generated by sum node 24 is then

$$E_n = d_n - Y_n = \text{DBV} \cdot (M(w_i) \cdot \sin(w_n T + \phi(w_i)) - b_n i \cdot X_n i) \quad (\text{Equ. 6})$$

According to Equ. 6, the magnitude DBV does not affect the sign of the error signal, nor will it control or influence the convergence of an update algorithm. The update algorithm accordingly depends on the sign of the error signal and not the level of the error signal: the input level DBV alters the error ( $E_n$ ) signal amplitude.

The use of the CSD update algorithm is accordingly particularly suited to adaptive filter 10 because it relies solely on the sign of the product of  $X_n$  and  $E_n$ , as determined by the exclusive-OR function.

The error ( $E_n$ ) is initially equal to the input signal  $d_n$ .  $E_n$  decreases as filter 10 adapts to the particular line conditions and finally approaches a residual limit. Once the filter has adapted, the residual error will oscillate about a small value.

In simulated adaptations using white noise as input  $X_n$  and the CSD update algorithm for the coefficients of  $z$  filter 10, convergence to the residual limit is obtained within approximately 5000 iterations.

In order to avoid oscillations of the non-linear CSD filter coefficients after convergence, filter 10 preferably includes a user-selectable error threshold voltage ( $K_v$ ). After every iteration in updating the filter coefficients, error  $E_n$  is compared against  $K_v$ ; if  $E_n$  is less than  $K_v$  then no coefficient updating will be performed.

In an alternative to the use of the error threshold voltage  $K_v$ , a relative error threshold voltage value,  $a$ , is used, where  $a$  is a user-selectable 3-nibble CSD coefficient. The relationship between  $K_v$  and  $X_n$  is given by  $K_v = a \cdot |X_n|$ .

$$a = \left| \frac{E_n}{X_n} \right| \quad (\text{Equ. 7})$$

If  $|E_n| < a \cdot |X_n|$ , then no adaptation of filter 10 is made.  
The Echo Return Loss (ERL) is given by

$$\text{ERL} = 20 \log \left| \frac{E_n}{X_n} \right| = -Y \text{ dB} \quad (\text{Equ. 8})$$

for some value  $Y$ .

Substituting  $a$  for  $\left| \frac{E_n}{X_n} \right|$  gives

$$\text{ERL} = 20 \log a = -Y \text{ or}$$

$$a = 10^{\frac{-Y}{20}} \quad (\text{Equ. 9})$$

Accordingly, if a user wants  $-Y_{\text{db}}$  for ERL, then  $a$  is given by Equ. 9. In simulated adaptations, a value of  $-20\text{dB}$  for  $K_v$  has been employed.

With reference now to Fig. 2, the effect of a near-end talker will now be described. The preceding description of the invention has been directed only at the presence of a far-end talker and the cancellation of echos therefrom. If there is another talker at the near end, the error  $E_n$  becomes a function of both

near-end and far-end signals and meaningless for updating coefficients in the adaptive filter 10. Accordingly, the adaptive filter must be inhibited from updating any time a near-end signal is present.

A near-end speech or signal can be detected by an energy-averaging filter 26 shown in Fig. 2. (Elements of Fig. 2 in common with Fig. 2 are denoted by the same reference numerals.) The signal on line 12 applied to adaptive filter 10 (at point A) is also conducted to one pole of a sampling switch 28. The signal applied to the summing input of sum node 24 (at point B) is also conducted to a second pole of switch 28. The signals at points A and B are denoted  $A_i$  and  $B_i$ .

A near end speech or signal is detected by sampling the signals  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  applied to switch 26. Energy-averaging filter 26 has an input terminal connected to the moveable contact of switch 28 and can accordingly sample the signals  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  over time.

Energy-averaging filter 26 contains a low-pass filter, which will be described hereinafter in connection with Fig. 3, which receives signal  $A_i$  or  $B_i$  and generates a low-pass filtered version  $\sim A_i$  and  $\sim B_i$ , respectively, of the absolute value of the signal  $A_i$  or  $B_i$ , depending on the position of switch 28. The signals  $\sim A_{i+1}$  and  $\sim B_{i+1}$  are related to  $\sim A_i$ ,  $\sim B_i$ ,  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  according to

$$\begin{aligned} \sim A_{i+1} &= \beta \sim A_i + \alpha |A_i| \\ \sim B_{i+1} &= \beta \sim B_i + \alpha |B_i| \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Equ. 10})$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the low-pass filter coefficients which will be described in connection with Fig. 3.

Due to unknown line delay, a near end speech or signal is detected if

$$\sim B_i > \gamma \cdot \max[\sim A_i, \sim A_{i-1}, \dots, \sim A_{i-N-1}] \quad (\text{Equ. 11})$$

where  $\gamma$  is the echo-path loss through 4-wire terminating block 16.

An ALU would receive the signal YAV(nt) generated by energy-averaging filter 26 and would implement Equ. 11 as will be appreciated by those skilled in the art. In a simulation,  $\gamma$  is determined at a frequency of 1,000 Hz. The ALU would be connected to adaptive filter 10 and would inhibit coefficient updating at any time Equ. 11 indicates detection of near end speech or signals.

With reference now to Fig. 3, energy-averaging filter 26 is preferably realized as a two-tap single-pole low-pass digital filter. Signals sampled by switch 28  $X(Z)$  are conducted to a multiplier node 30 which also receives the filter coefficient  $\alpha$ . The signal generated by multiplier node 30 is conducted to an input of a sum node 32. Sum node 32 generates a signal conducted to delay 34. The delayed signal is conducted to a multiplier node 36 which also receives the filter coefficient  $\alpha$ . The signal generated by multiplier node 36 is conducted to a second input of sum node 32. The low-pass signals Yav(nT) are generated at the output of filter 26 as the signals generated by sum node 32.

The low-pass filter 26 of Fig. 3 thus implements one of the equations 10, depending on whether sampling switch 28 is connected to point A or point B.

Low-pass filter 26 realizes the frequency-domain transfer function

$$H(Z) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta Z^{-1}} \quad (\text{Equ. 12})$$

In a preferred embodiment,  $\alpha = 0.00975$  and  $\beta = 0.990234$ .

## Claims

1. In a telecommunication network including at least a first and second transceiver said first transceiver generating signals to said second transceiver, a method of suppressing said signals reflected by said telecommunication network and received by said first transceiver ("echos") wherein said first transceiver included an adaptive digital filter employing a plurality of tap coefficients and suppression of said reflected signals comprising the steps:

(a) updating said adaptive digital filter coefficients according to a non-linear sign algorithm.

2. An echo-cancelling method according to claim 1 wherein coefficient updating is according to a Cantic signed digit (CSD) algorithm.

3. An echo-cancelling method according to claim 2 wherein said adaptive digital filter of said first transceiver receives signals  $X_n$  to be transmitted to said second transceiver and generates an error signal  $E_n$  therefrom being the difference between  $X_n$  and said reflected signal, wherein said coefficient updating step (a) is repeated until  $E_n$  is less than a predetermined error threshold voltage  $K_v$ .

4. An echo-cancelling method according to claim 3 wherein said Kv value is  $a^{|X_n|}$ , where a is a predetermined 3-nibble CSD coefficient.

5. An echo-cancelling method according to claim 4 wherein a predetermined echo-return loss -Ydb is user-specified and wherein  $a = 10^{-Y/20}$ .

6. An echo-cancelling method according to claim 1 further including the steps:

(b) determining the average energy in a signal transmitted by said first transceiver over a predetermined number of time intervals;

(c) determining the average energy in a signal received by said first transceiver from said second transceiver; and

(d) inhibiting said coefficient updating whenever said energy measured at step (c) equals or exceeds a predetermined function of said energy measured at step (b).

8. In subscriber line audio processing circuit (SLAC) apparatus having transmitter means for generating digital signals, analog-to-digital converter (ADC) means coupled to a subscriber line for sampling an input audio signal and for generating digital signals representing the amplitude of said sample, receiver means responsive to said digital signals generated by said analog-to-digital converter means, and digital-to-analog converter (DAC) means, responsive to said digital signals generated by said transmitter means, for converting said digital signals to analog form suitable for transmission on said subscriber line; first adaptive multi-tap signal filter means (10) receiving said digital signals generated by said transmitter means for generating an echo-cancelling signal therefrom; and means (24) receiving said echo-cancelling signal and said digital signals generated by said ADC means for generating a signal in which said echo-cancelling signal is subtracted from said signals generated by said ADC means;

wherein said first adaptive multi-tap digital filter means employs a plurality of tap coefficients, said tap coefficients being periodically updated according to a sign algorithm.

9. A SLAC according to claim 8 wherein said sign algorithm is a Cannonic Signed Digit (CSD) sign algorithm.

10. A SLAC according to claim 8 further including:

means (28) responsive to said digital signals generated by said transmitter means and to said digital signals generated by said ADC means for selectively sampling either said signals applied thereto; and means (26) responsive to said sampled signals for generating an average energy value therefrom; whereby when said average energy in said signals generated by said ADC means equals or exceeds a predetermined function of the average energy of a predetermined number of said signals generated by said transmitter means, said coefficient updating is inhibited.

11. A SLAC according to claim 10 wherein said energy-averaging means is a second adaptive multi-tap digital low-pass filter.

12. A SLAC according to claim 11 wherein said second adaptive multi-tap digital low-pass filter is a two-tap, single pole filter realizing the transfer function

$$H(Z) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta Z^{-1}}$$

13. A SLAC according to claim 12 wherein  $\alpha = 0.00975$  and  $\beta = .990234$ .




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3



European Patent  
Office

# EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 88 11 6052

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl. 4)
X	EP-A-0 111 938 (NEC CORP.) * Page 5, lines 10-33; page 8, line 5 - page 9, line 15; page 19, line 1 - page 20, line 1; figures 3,4 *	1	H 04 B 3/23
A	---	3,8	
X	GB-A-2 086 196 (INTERNATIONAL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH CO.) * Page 7, lines 41-43; figures 2,8 *	1,8	
A	INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ELECTRONICS, vol. 59, no. 3, September 1985, pages 365-368, London, GB; C.P. KWONG et al.: "A variation of the sign algorithm for adaptive filtering" * Whole article *	1,8	
A	IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ACOUSTICS SPEECH AND SIGNAL PROCESSING, vol. ASSP-28, no. 5, October 1980, pages 590-592, IEEE, New York, US; R.H. SEEGAL: "The canonical signed digit structure for FIR filters" * Whole article *	2,9	
A	EP-A-0 164 159 (TELECOMMUNICATIONS RADIOELECTRIQUES ET TELEPHONIQUES) * Claim 5; figures 1,8 *	1,6,10, 11	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)  H 04 B H 03 H
A	US-A-4 129 753 (DUTTWEIZER) * Abstract; figures 1,2 *	1,6,10	
	---	-/-	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search <b>THE HAGUE</b>		Date of completion of the search <b>05-01-1989</b>	Examiner <b>SNELL T.</b>
<b>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</b>			
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons  & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	





DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl. 4)
A	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN, vol. 6, no. 238 (E-144)[1116], 26th November 1982; & JP-A-57 140 040 (NIPPON DENKI K.K.) 30-08-1982 * Abstract * -----	1	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 05-01-1989	Examiner SNELL T.
<b>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</b>			
<b>X</b> : particularly relevant if taken alone <b>Y</b> : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category <b>A</b> : technological background <b>O</b> : non-written disclosure <b>P</b> : intermediate document			
<b>T</b> : theory or principle underlying the invention <b>E</b> : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date <b>D</b> : document cited in the application <b>L</b> : document cited for other reasons ----- <b>&amp;</b> : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			